

## MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET

<b>Name of Filer</b>	<b>ELIZABETH PIKE MARTIN</b>
<b>Reporting Period</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual report – calendar year 2012 <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate/Appointee report
<b>Type of Request</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Renewal with No Change – original granted on <u>June 24, 2010</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal with Change
<b>Office Held/Sought &amp; Term</b>	Superior Court Judge, Pierce County Current elected term expires December 31, 2016
<b>PDC Protocol</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Attorney: Interpretation #02-03 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judge / Judicial Candidate: Interpretation #02-04 <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile Dealership: Interpretation #02-05 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spousal: Interpretation #02-06 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WAC 390-28-100(1)(d)
<b>Supporting Documents (attached)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current F-1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior order (if renewal) – <u>Order #3042</u>
<b>Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)</b>	<p><u>Personal Residential Address</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Judge Martin is requesting a renewal of a reporting modification that would exempt her from disclosing her personal residential address information, including street address, parcel number, or legal description, on her Personal Financial Affairs Statement for 2012.</li> <li>• Judge Martin stated that for safety and security concerns she does not want to disclose her personal residential address information.</li> <li>• Judge Martin stated that as a Superior Court Judge, she has adjudicated a variety of criminal and civil matters, including sentencing violent felons and gang members.</li> </ul> <p><u>Gordon Thomas Honeywell, LLP</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Judge Martin is requesting a renewal of a reporting modification that would exempt her from disclosing the reportable business customers of the law firm, Gordon Thomas Honeywell, LLP, that paid \$10,000 or more during 2012, for which her spouse is a partner.</li> <li>• Judge Martin stated that Gordon Thomas Honeywell, LLP is a Tacoma law firm with 30 partners and approximately 50 total lawyers. Her spouse's ownership interest of 3.3809%.</li> <li>• Judge Martin said she does not have access to the client base or compensation information of Gordon Thomas Honeywell.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Judge Martin stated that, upon her request, Gordon Thomas Honeywell staff informed her that approximately 1,000 business clients would be subject to disclosure.</li><li>• Judge Martin stated that, pursuant to Code of Judicial Conduct, Cannon 3(d), she has, and will continue to, recuse herself from all matters in which (1) a Gordon Thomas Honeywell lawyer represents a party; (2) involve a party which Judge Martin or Mr. Martin previously represented, or (3) involve a party personally known by Judge Martin to be a client of the firm.</li></ul>
<b>Other Issues</b>	Judge Martin provided a list of reportable business clients known to her and a list of reportable governmental clients of her spouse's firm, Gordon Thomas Honeywell.
<b>Staff Recommendations</b>	Approve renewal of the reporting modification with no change.