## **MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET**

Name of Filer	T. RYAN DURKAN
Reporting Period	<ul><li>☑ Annual report – calendar years 2016</li><li>☐ Candidate/Appointee report</li></ul>
Type of Request	<ul> <li>□ New</li> <li>☑ Renewal with No Change – <u>original granted on April 28, 2016</u></li> <li>□ Renewal with Change</li> </ul>
Office Held/Sought & Term	Regent, Washington State University Appointed term expires September 2017
Application Rule(s)	<ul> <li>□ Income &amp; Ownership Interest: WAC 390-28-100(b)</li> <li>□ Personal Residence: WAC 390-28-100(d)</li> <li>☑ Attorney: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(i))</li> <li>□ Judge / Judicial Candidate: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii))</li> <li>□ Spousal: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv))</li> <li>□ Other: WAC 390-28-100(1)(a)(c)</li> </ul>
Explanation of Rule(s)	Lawyers and law firms (when applicant is an incumbent or candidate and acts alone or as part of a governing body, board, or commission). An applicant may be allowed to satisfy the reporting requirements of RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) and WAC 390-24-020 by disclosing reportable clients from whom compensation has been paid in excess of the reporting threshold as follows:  (A) The names of the business clients for whom the applicant has done legal work;  (B) Other clients of the law firm whose interests are significantly affected by the applicant's actions as an elected or appointed official or whose actions will be affected by the applicant's action should the applicant be elected whose identities become known to the applicant through any means;  (C) The names of the clients of the law firm who are listed in Martindale Hubbell, the firm's resume, web site, or similar promotional materials; and  (D) Governmental clients that have done business with the law firm. An applicant may also be required to disclose all business customers from whom compensation in excess of the reporting threshold has been received whose identities are publicized or referenced in documents open for public inspection at the courts, in administrative hearings, at proceedings conducted by public agencies, or are a matter of public knowledge in other similar public forums. Alternatively, the commission may require an applicant to report only those publicly identifiable customers of which the applicant is aware.

Supporting Documents (attached)  Background	<ul> <li>☑ Current F-1a (filed March 16, 2017)</li> <li>☑ Last Full F-1 (filed March 22, 2016)</li> <li>☑ Modification Application</li> <li>☑ Prior Order (if renewal) – April 28, 2016</li> <li>Ms. Durkan has disclosed the identity of clients for whom she did legal work during 6. She has also disclosed government agencies for whom</li> </ul>
	Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson performed legal work in 2016. Ms.  Durkan has disclosed payments made by WSU to Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson in 2016.
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	<ul> <li>Ms. Durkan is a partner of Hillis Clark Martin &amp; Peterson, PS, a private law firm based in Seattle. She has less than 10% ownership and holds the title of "Vice President" and "Director" but does not participate in day-to-day management decisions, major firm decisions, budget decisions or changes to firm policies.</li> <li>Ms. Durkan is requesting a reporting modification that would exempt her from listing the business customers that made payments of \$12,000 or more to the law firm of Hillis Clark Martin &amp; Peterson, PS, during 2016.</li> <li>Ms. Durkan stated that during 2016, Hillis Clark Martin &amp; Peterson employed 76 employees total including 46 attorneys and performed legal work for more than 1,000 clients.</li> <li>In 2016, Ms. Durkan stated there were 196 clients that paid fees of more than \$12,000.</li> </ul>
Other Issues	Ms. Durkan stated that if any of her firm's clients were before Washington State University on any matter in which she had decision-making authority she would disclose the relationship and recuse herself.
Staff Recommendations	Approve the requested reporting modification with no change.